

Ken Pedeleose Op-Ed Series

Part 4 – From Watchdogs to Enablers - Insulating and Inbreeding the Deep State

On/about 1848, Frederic Bastiat, a French economist stated: *“When plunder becomes a way of life for a group of men in society, over the course of time they create for themselves a legal system that authorizes it and a moral code that glorifies it.”* In modern terms this is known as weaponized government and lawfare tactically initiated, enabled, and insulated by Deep State to circumvent law.

In short, the Government has an inverted oversight system that is insulating and inbreeding the Deep State, weaponized government, and lawfare into a lifestyle that is integrated, synchronized, coordinated, and tactical to more surreptitiously circumvent law, ultimately watering down laws and statutes.

Overall, corrupted and compromised Government oversight is the main cause of the Government Swamp that must be understood to enact an effective remedy to ensure Government efficiency.

The evidence within my book, *The Cost of Weaponized Government and Lawfare*, shows the following oversight organizations are founders, insulators, and contributors to the new lifestyle of institutional corruption. Meaning, the cause of institutional corruption (Government Swamp) and not just a symptom.

Department of Justice (DOJ) & Assistant United States Attorney(s) (AUSA)

Department of Defense (DoD) & Department of Defense Inspector General (DoD IG)

National Security Agency (NSA)

Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA)

Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA)

Office of Personnel Management (OPM)

Merit Systems Protection Board (MSPB)

Office of Special Counsel (OSC)

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)

Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals (ASBCA)

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

My book is the evidentiary file on the oversight organizations documented as I researched and fought back against institutional corruption. When retaliated against, I used the opportunity to further research and document where the corruption really came from. Focusing on the root cause and not just the symptoms.

This was easy for me because I was immersed in the “belly of the beast” (heart of the problem) witnessing corruption in the making. The first-hand evidence I gathered traced to the highest levels of Government which exposed the Deep State, oversight corruption, with traceable evidence from the source.

The most prevalent evidence of oversight corruption, weaponization, and lawfare was Falsified Trail 3 of 13 (tactical retaliation, 30-Day Suspension) initiated in 2005. Falsified Trail 3 was my flagship case and included DOJ, AUSA, DoD, DoD IG, NSA, DCMA, OPM, MSPB, OSC, and U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Overall, 20 attorneys, and 7 Director/command level officials aligned against me working intently to bring about my demise as an extremely effective whistleblower.

It took nearly 60 pages of my book just to summarize Falsified Trail 3. The tactics used were that in depth and desperate. The Deep State was deranged with my success. However, there was a major flaw.

The major flaw was the tactical use of electronic trails (emails) and other written documents which traced directly to the highest levels of government exposing the oversight organizations as participants in weaponized government, lawfare, and cover-ups.

By September 9, 2006 I had enough initial evidence on weaponized government to assemble a report to Congress. Meaning, weaponized government and lawfare trace far back to 2005/2006.

On November 1, 2006, Congressman Todd Platts responded and stated that he has “*heard many stories*” such as mine and is pushing for further whistleblower protections. Congressman Platz informed me that the Federal Circuit stated “*for a federal employee to “reasonably believe” there is evidence of waste, fraud, and abuse, as required by law—he or she must overcome with “irrefragable proof” the presumption that the agency was acting in good faith.*” Falsified Trail 3 met the irrefragable proof standard.

In short, my Agency/employer, DCMA, placed my deposition on an official record without rebuttal; Provided the tactical document trail of how Falsified Trail 3 was perpetrated against me using oversight organizations; And then DCMA issued a formal letter indicating that no rebuttal would be provided to my evidence of whistleblower retaliation. This made the case irrefragable since DCMA assembled the case file that proved whistleblower retaliation.

My whistleblowing success shook DCMA and the oversight organizations to a level of derangement and desperation. I used this opportunity to dig further into the depths of Deep State corruption.

Meaning, DCMA admitted to whistleblower retaliation and provided the evidence trail as to how whistleblower retaliation was perpetrated using multiple oversight organizations (DCMA, DoD, DoD IG, DoJ) acting as a team with OSC providing an initial cover-up. NSA also helped coverup for DCMA after the fact. These subsequent coverups increased my cache of evidence.

Surprisingly, on October 24, 2007, two of the three MSPB judges ruled in my favor accurately explaining the irrefragable evidence. This forced OPM to enter the fray and enable the final cover-up. This expanded my cache of evidence that now included OPM as part of oversight corruption and coverup.

Despite irrefragable evidence, MSPB, on February 12, 2009, vacated their ruling in my favor and provided DCMA a win. DOJ engaged multiple attorneys prompting the Federal Circuit to further rule in DCMA’s favor. Hence, the Federal Circuit was part of the corruption and added to my cache of evidence. However, the cache of evidence regarding discredited oversight is deeper still as follows.

As of April 21, 2002 the DoD IG was so corrupted that a private company, MPRI (Military Professionals Resources Incorporated), was tasked to do a study on the DoD IG. The MPRI report was scathing against the DoD IG and went on to make comments regarding OSC and MSPB, stating in part.

“These forums (MSPB, OSC) have become increasingly discredited as a viable source of legal relief for aggrieved whistleblowers. Few whistleblowers now prevail before the MSPB because the governing statute, the Whistleblower Protection Act, has been steadily eroded by restrictive interpretations that make it most difficult to sustain a winning case.”

My book refers to this as the Cerberus effect. Meaning, Cerberus guarded Hades to keep the dead from leaving and ensured those who entered never left. The Cerberus of the U.S. inverted legal system includes OSC, MSPB, and OPM supported heavily by DoJ and U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. However, the inverted system goes beyond covering up retaliatory personnel actions.

On February 28, 2000 I made a criminal disclosure against Lockheed Martin regarding a potential false claim to the U.S. Government. The case was forwarded for prosecution and the following documents were provided:

Criminal Report of Investigation ROI (December 19, 2001)

November 28, 2001, Criminal Vulnerability Report (CRV) #2002-01

Criminal Vulnerability Report (CRV) (January 10, 2002) cover memo

The DOJ (AUSA) refused to prosecute Lockheed Martin. That criminal case included the fact that Government officials at DCMA knew the proposal and termination claim contained inflated prices because of the inclusion of duplicative set up costs and the government officials at DCMA-LM Aero (my office management) negotiated the price proposal knowing the proposal to contain false information. Meaning, DCMA was in the facts of a criminal case.

Accordingly, DOJ covered-up for DCMA and did not prosecute Lockheed Martin. From there, the DoD IG audit division finalized the cover-up.

On February 23, 2004, the DoD IG issued an audit report which stated the DoD IG did not substantiate allegations (fraud) on the proposal. This clashed with existing, and aforementioned, criminal and criminal vulnerability reports that were issued a few years prior in 2001 and 2002.

I brought this cover-up to the attention of Senator Grassley who in turn issued a written rebuke to Joseph Schmitz (then DoD IG Director) the following day, February 24, 2004. Senator Grassley then, in the same letter, stated *“Those two entities (DCMA and DCAA) have a long-standing reputation for turning a blind eye to contractor rip offs. They are not known for being vicious junk yard dogs when it comes to protecting taxpayers’ money.”* Hence, Senator Grassley rebuked 3 oversight organizations in one letter.

Another interesting point is that the same DOJ that refused to prosecute Lockheed Martin participated in Falsified Trail 3 against me where I was given a retaliatory 30-day suspension. Furthermore, the DoD IG also participated in Falsified Trail 3 further proving the inverted system of Government oversight.

The point is, Government oversight organizations are the founders, foundation, insulation, and enablers for the Government Swamp. Meaning, the Government Swamp would not exist unless oversight organizations were corrupted or compromised. Further proving the inverted system in Government.

Simply put, corrupted oversight is the main cause of the Government Swamp. I just used the repetitive and predictable cover-ups and retaliations to document how government really works.

Further proof of this inverted system are the task forces to address fraud against the Government. Meaning, if the Government oversight organizations worked there would be no need for any task forces.

My website, KENPEDELEOSE.COM, has more information including other Op-eds. There is also a link to contact me personally. My book represents the complete evidentiary file on how Government oversight has evolved into an inverted, full-service, federal machinery enabling institutional corruption and circumventing law and ethics with precision.

The next op-ed focuses on the “The Deep State Arsenal - Weaponize Everything” mindset repetitively used to circumvent law and ethics.